



GEOTECHNICAL EXPLORATION

NORWOOD PARK APARTMENTS

Norwood Park Boulevard near Interstate 35

Austin, Texas

ALPHA Report No. A182808

January 17, 2019

Prepared for:

LDG DEVELOPMENT, LLC

1305 E. 6th Street, Suite 13

Austin, Texas 78702

Attention: Mr. Jake Brown

Prepared By:



January 17, 2019

LDG Development, LLC
1305 E. 6th Street, Suite 13
Austin, Texas 78702

Attention: Mr. Jake Brown

Re: Geotechnical Exploration
Norwood Park Apartments
Norwood Park Boulevard near Interstate 35
Austin, Texas
ALPHA Report No. A182808


Attached is the report of the geotechnical exploration performed for the project referenced above. This study was authorized by Mr. Jacob P. Brown with LDG Development, LLC on October 15, 2018 and performed in accordance with ALPHA Proposal No. 67870 dated October 15, 2018.


This report contains results of field explorations and laboratory testing and an engineering interpretation of these with respect to available project characteristics. The results and analyses were used to develop recommendations to aid design and construction of foundations and pavement.


ALPHA TESTING, INC. appreciates the opportunity to be of service on this project. If we can be of further assistance, such as providing materials testing services during construction, please contact our office.

Sincerely,

ALPHA TESTING, INC.


Colin J. Dlugosh, P.E.
Project Manager




Adam J. Heiman, P.E.
Geotechnical Department Manager

Copy: LDG Development, LLC – Mr. Jake Brown (jbrown@ldgdevelopment.com)



TABLE OF CONTENTS

ALPHA REPORT NO. A182808

1.0	PURPOSE AND SCOPE.....	1
2.0	PROJECT CHARACTERISTICS	1
3.0	FIELD EXPLORATION	1
4.0	LABORATORY TESTS	2
5.0	GENERAL SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS.....	2
6.0	DESIGN RECOMMENDATIONS	3
6.1	General Considerations	3
6.1.1	Existing Fill.....	3
6.1.2	Vertical Movements.....	4
6.1.3	Foundation Considerations	5
6.2	Subgrade Preparation	5
6.3	Shallow Foundations.....	5
6.3.1	Footings.....	6
6.3.2	Slab Foundation	6
6.4	Flatwork	7
6.5	Swimming Pool Area.....	8
6.6	Seismic Considerations	9
6.7	Pavements	9
6.7.1	Pavement Subgrade Preparation	10
6.7.2	Portland Cement Concrete Pavement	10
6.7.3	Asphalt Concrete Pavement.....	11
6.7.4	Pavement Materials.....	11
6.8	Drainage	12
7.0	GENERAL CONSTRUCTION PROCEDURES AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	13
7.1	Site Preparation and Grading	13
7.2	Foundation Excavations.....	15
7.3	Fill Materials and Compaction.....	15
7.4	Groundwater	16
8.0	LIMITATIONS.....	17

APPENDIX

A-1	Methods of Field Exploration Boring Location Plan – Figure 1
B-1	Methods of Laboratory Testing Swell Test Data – Figure 2 Logs of Borings Key to Soil Symbols and Classifications



1.0 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

The purpose of this geotechnical exploration is for ALPHA TESTING, INC. (ALPHA) to evaluate for LDG DEVELOPMENT, LLC (Client) some of the physical and engineering properties of subsurface materials at selected locations on the subject site with respect to formulation of appropriate geotechnical design parameters for the proposed construction. The field exploration was accomplished by securing subsurface samples from widely spaced test borings performed across the expanse of the site. Engineering analyses were performed from results of the field exploration and results of laboratory tests performed on representative samples.

Also included are general comments pertaining to reasonably anticipated construction problems and recommendations concerning earthwork and quality control testing during construction. This information can be used to evaluate subsurface conditions and to aid in ascertaining construction meets project specifications.

Recommendations provided in this report were developed from information obtained in test borings depicting subsurface conditions only at the specific boring locations and at the particular time designated on the logs. Subsurface conditions at other locations may differ from those observed at the boring locations, and subsurface conditions at boring locations may vary at different times of the year. The scope of work may not fully define the variability of subsurface materials and conditions that are present on the site.

The nature and extent of variations between borings may not become evident until construction. If significant variations then appear evident, our office should be contacted to re-evaluate our recommendations after performing on-site observations and possibly other tests.

2.0 PROJECT CHARACTERISTICS

It is proposed to construct a new apartment complex to be located off Norwood Park Boulevard near Interstate 35 in Austin, Texas. A site plan illustrating the general outline of the property is provided as Figure 1, Boring Location Plan, in the Appendix of this report. A site grading plan, including initial and final contours, was not available at the time of this study. For the purpose of writing this report we have assumed the final site grades will be within 2 ft of the existing grade.

The project will include a clubhouse building, swimming pool, ten (10) multi-story apartment buildings, and associated pavements. The new buildings are anticipated to create light to moderate loads to be carried by a slab foundation system. Both asphalt and concrete pavement systems will be considered.

3.0 FIELD EXPLORATION

Subsurface conditions on the site were explored by drilling a total of 13 borings in general accordance with ASTM D 420 using standard rotary drilling equipment. The corresponding location of each boring is provided in Table A.



TABLE A		
Locations	Boring No.	Boring Depth, ft
Clubhouse	B-1	8
Apartment Buildings	B-2 to B-11	6 to 15
Pavement Area	B-12 and B-13	5 to 6
¹ <i>Some of the borings were terminated early due to auger refusal within the rock stratum.</i>		

The approximate location of each boring is shown on the Boring Location Plan, Figure 1, enclosed in the Appendix of this report. Details of drilling and sampling operations are briefly summarized in Methods of Field Exploration, Section A-1 of the Appendix.

Subsurface types encountered during the field exploration are presented on the boring logs included in the Appendix of this report. The boring logs contain our Field Technician's and Engineer's interpretation of conditions believed to exist between actual samples retrieved. Therefore, these boring logs contain both factual and interpretive information. Lines delineating subsurface strata on the boring logs are approximate and the actual transition between strata may be gradual.

4.0 LABORATORY TESTS

Selected samples of the subsurface materials were tested in the laboratory to evaluate their engineering properties as a basis in providing recommendations for foundation design and earthwork construction. A brief description of testing procedures used in the laboratory can be found in Methods of Laboratory Testing, Section B-1 of the Appendix. Individual test results are presented on Log of Boring sheets or summary data sheets also enclosed in the Appendix.

5.0 GENERAL SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

The Geologic Map of Texas, published by the University of Texas at Austin, Bureau of Economic Geology, has mapped the Austin Chalk (Kau) formation in the general area of the project site. The Austin Chalk (Kau) formation generally consists of clay, chalk, marl, and limestone. Although not common, Karst features such as caves, sinkholes, solution zones and collapse breccia may be encountered in the Austin Chalk Formation. No Karst features were encountered in the borings drilled at this site.

Within the 15-ft maximum depth explored on the site, subsurface materials consist generally of low to very high plasticity FILL: LEAN CLAY with SAND (CL), FAT CLAY with SAND (CH), LEAN CLAY with SAND (CL), SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL), and CLAYEY GRAVEL with SAND (GC), overlying MARL. Fill material was encountered at depths ranging from about 2 to 5 ft below the existing ground surface in Borings B-4, B-5, and B-13. Marl was encountered at depths ranging from about 2½ to 9 ft below the existing ground surface in the borings at this site. The letters in parenthesis represent the soils' classification according to the Unified Soil Classification System (ASTM D 2488). More detailed stratigraphic information is presented on the boring logs attached to this report.

Marl is defined in ASTM D 653-90 Standard Terminology Relating to Soil, Rock and Contained Fluids as "calcareous clay usually containing from 35 to 65 percent calcium carbonate." The calcium carbonate is an indication of a cemented matrix of sand, silt or clay. When submerged in water, marl will begin to slake. *Note: However, when being excavated or drilled this material*



*typically behaves more like a rock than soil thereby requiring construction equipment and procedures typically used for rock. **The contractor selected should have experience with excavation and drilling in this marl/rock.***

The clayey materials and marl encountered are considered relatively impermeable and are anticipated to have a relatively slow response to water movement. The granular materials encountered are considered relatively permeable and are anticipated to have a relatively fast response to water movement. Therefore, several days of observation would be required to evaluate actual groundwater levels within the depths explored. Also, the groundwater level at the site is anticipated to fluctuate seasonally depending on the amount of rainfall, prevailing weather conditions and subsurface drainage characteristics.

Groundwater was not encountered during drilling at this site. However, it is common to detect seasonal groundwater from natural fractures within the clayey matrix, in the granular materials, and at the soil/rock (marl) interface, particularly during or after periods of precipitation. If more detailed groundwater information is required, monitoring wells or piezometers can be installed. Further details concerning subsurface materials and conditions encountered can be obtained from the boring logs provided in the Appendix.

6.0 DESIGN RECOMMENDATIONS

The following design recommendations were developed on the basis of the previously described Project Characteristics (Section 2.0) and General Subsurface Conditions (Section 5.0). If project criteria should change, our office should conduct a review to determine if modifications to the recommendations are required. Further, it is recommended our office be provided with a copy of the final plans and specifications for our review prior to construction.

6.1 General Considerations

The foundation system being considered to provide support for the proposed structures must satisfy two independent engineering criteria. One criterion is the foundation system must be designed with an appropriate factor of safety, or a performance limit state, to reduce the possibility of soil failure when subjected to axial and lateral load conditions. The other criterion is foundation movements, whether vertical, horizontal or rotational, must be within allowable operational limits of the structures. These criteria can be achieved for the planned structure foundations if they are designed and constructed in accordance with the recommendations contained in this report.

Design criteria given in this report were developed assuming floor slabs for the buildings are constructed within 2 ft of existing grade. Substantial cutting and filling on the site (more than 2 ft) can alter the recommended foundation design parameters. Therefore, it is recommended ALPHA be contacted before performing other cutting and filling on site to verify the appropriate design parameters are utilized for final foundation design.

6.1.1 Existing Fill

As discussed in Section 5.0, uncontrolled fill material (up to 5 ft) was encountered in Borings B-4, B-5, and B-13 at this site. However, the fill depth may be greater where borings terminated in fill and/or in other locations at this site. There may be uncontrolled



fill in other areas but could not be readily identified during our investigation. Samples obtained of the uncontrolled fill were generally free of significant voids. In the absence of documented density control, the possibility of under-compacted zones or voids may exist within the uncontrolled fill. Removal and replacement of all fill is the only method of eliminating the risk of unusual settlement associated with the fill.

The subgrade improvement recommendations provided in this report include removing and/or reworking all of the fill in the building areas based on fill depths encountered in the borings. Therefore, the risk for unforeseen conditions and settlement will be eliminated in the building areas. To help reduce unforeseen conditions and settlement in the pavement areas and other areas to receive new fill, the subgrade should be proofrolled and compacted as recommended in Section 7.1. These methods are intended to represent a reasonable approach for construction of paving; however, they will not eliminate the risk of unexpected movements in some areas where existing fill remains.

Although not encountered at the borings, uncontrolled fills can contain boulders, rubble, debris, organic materials, and other unsuitable materials. Excavation and grading contracts should contain provision for removal of unsuitable materials. Test pits could be performed prior to construction to assess the depth, lateral extent, and nature of the existing fill. ALPHA would be pleased to assist with a test pit program if desired.

6.1.2 Vertical Movements

Expansive soils are present at this site. This report provides recommendations to help the effects of soil shrinkage and expansion. However, even if these recommendations are followed, some movement and cracking in the structure should be anticipated. The severity of cracking and other damage such as uneven floor slabs will probably increase, if any modification of the site results in excessive wetting or drying of the expansive soils.

Structures supported at the FFE provided on the grading plan could experience soil-related potential seasonal movement (i.e. PVR) up to 3½ inches at this site. This potential seasonal movement was estimated in general accordance with methods outlined by the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) Test Method Tex-124-E, using swell tests (ASTM D 4546, Method B), engineering judgment, and experience. The estimated movement was calculated assuming the moisture content of the in-situ soil within the normal zone of seasonal moisture content change varies between a "dry" condition and a "wet" condition as defined by Tex-124-E. Also, it was assumed a 1 psi surcharge load from the floor slab acts on the subgrade soils.

Movements exceeding those predicted above could occur if positive drainage of surface water is not maintained or if soils are subject to an outside water source, such as leakage from a utility line or subsurface moisture migration from off-site locations. However, soil movements may be reduced by implementing the subgrade improvement recommendations presented below, in Section 6.2 of this report.



6.1.3 Foundation Considerations

We understand that a shallow foundation system will support the loads for the proposed structures. The shallow foundation system should consist of grade beams and a grade supported floor slab (commonly called a slab foundation) for the buildings and shallow footings for other site structures. Recommendations for these types of foundation systems are provided in the following sections.

6.2 Subgrade Preparation

Structures that are constructed within 2 ft of existing grade could experience soil-related potential seasonal movement up to 3½ inches. Potential seasonal movements can be reduced to about 1 inch by properly preparing the building pads as recommended below:

Over-excavate all of the existing surface brown and dark brown expansive soils and fill material in the building areas. *Note: Based on our boring logs, this over-excavation should range from about 1 to 8 ft deep from existing grade; however, the contractor should over-excavate all dark brown clay, brown clay, and fill material present above the underlying tan clay, clayey gravel and/or marl.* The building area is defined as the area directly beneath and at least 5 ft (horizontal) beyond the perimeter of the proposed building addition and appurtenances. Appurtenances are those items attached to the buildings, typically including, but not limited to, the building sidewalks, porches, ramps, stoops, etc. *Note: The brown and dark brown clay and fill material can be used in landscape areas at this site, but should not be used as fill in the building areas.*

The structure pad can be completed by placing and compacting select fill to the bottom of the floor slab in the building area. Select fill material should be placed in loose lifts of no more than 8 inches, be moisture-conditioned and then compacted.

To provide a more uniform slab support and create a more all-weather working surface, the final 6 inches of the building pad could be constructed with flexible base (optional) to provide a working surface. Criteria for select fill and flexible base material are provided in Section 7.3 of this report.

If not covered with concrete flatwork or pavements, the upper 2 ft of the 5 ft overbuild should consist of a cohesive clay with a Plasticity Index (PI) between 20 to 35 percent. The purpose of the clay cap is to reduce the potential for water to infiltrate the structure pad causing the subgrade soils to swell. The material should have at least 70 percent by weight passing the No. 200 Sieve and no more than 15 percent by weight retained in the No. 4 Sieve. The material should be compacted as recommended in Section 7.3 of this report, to reduce the risk of surface water infiltration into the select fill material and below the floor slab.

6.3 Shallow Foundations

We understand that a shallow foundation system will support the loads for the proposed structures at this site. Shallow foundations can be utilized provided subgrade improvement as described in Section 6.2 is performed to maintain foundation movements. The shallow foundation system should consist of grade beams and/or spread footings. The floor slabs for the



apartment buildings will be grade supported. Recommendations for these types of foundation systems are provided in the following sections:

6.3.1 Footings

Our findings indicate a shallow foundation system consisting of grade beams or isolated (spread) footings, could be utilized to support the structural loads of the proposed structures provided the subgrade is prepared as described in Section 6.2.

We recommend that exterior grade beams be at least 24 inches below final exterior grade and interior grade beams be supported at a nominal depth below the bottom of the floor slab. Grade beams should have a least dimension of 10 inches in width for bearing capacity considerations. Spread footings should bear at least 2 ft below final exterior grades. Spread footings should have a least dimension of 24 inches for bearing capacity considerations. Grade beams and spread footings can be designed using a net allowable bearing pressure of 2,000 psf provided the subgrade is prepared as recommended in Section 6.2. This bearing pressure includes a factor of safety of at least 3.

Also, footings subjected to lateral forces or overturning should be proportioned such that the resultant reaction force on the base of the footing lies within the middle one-third of the footing width.

Post construction settlements for footing foundations as described above should be less than 1 inch, with differential settlements in the order of $\frac{3}{4}$ of an inch assuming proper construction. Careful monitoring during construction is necessary to locate any pockets or seams of unsuitable materials which might be encountered in excavations for footings. Unsuitable soils encountered at the foundation bearing level should be removed and replaced with either lean concrete (about 2,000 psi strength at 28 days), structural concrete, or compacted select fill as described in Section 7.3.

Resistance to sliding will be developed by friction along the base of the footings and passive earth pressure acting on the vertical face of the footing and/or a key installed in the base of the footings, if required. We recommend a coefficient of base friction of 0.35 along the bottom of the footing bearing on properly placed and compacted select fill. Passive resistance on the vertical face of the footing within 2 ft of the general excavation subgrade should be neglected. Passive resistance can be developed using a key constructed in the base of the footing and for any portion of the footing bearing at least 2 ft below the general excavation subgrade. For footings bearing against vertical, undisturbed cuts in properly placed and compacted select fill (see Section 7.3), an allowable uniform passive pressure of 300 psf per ft can be utilized.

6.3.2 Slab Foundation

A stiffened slab and grade beam foundation (slab foundation) may be used at this site. The slab foundation may be designed using the following parameters in Table B provided that the building subgrade is prepared as discussed in Section 6.2:



TABLE B SUBGRADE PREPARATION AS NOTED IN SECTION 6.2	
Description	Design Parameters
Climatic rating / Thornthwaite Moisture Index	18 / -13
Effective Plasticity Index	22
Soil Support Index / Soil-Climate Rating Factor (1-C)	0.94 / 0.06
Edge Moisture Distance (e_m): Center Lift / Edge Lift	9.0 ft / 4.6 ft
Differential Soil Movement (y_m): Center Lift / Edge Lift	1.0 inch / 1.2 inches

The parameters indicated for the above design conditions are based on criteria published by the Wire Reinforcing Institute (WRI) and the Post-Tensioning Institute (PTI) 3rd Edition. The WRI method is essentially an empirical design technique and the parameters provided are based on our interpretation of the project boring and criteria published in the WRI design manual. The PTI method is based on the conditions encountered in the boring and using information and correlations published by PTI Third Edition and VOLFLO 1.5 computer program provided by Geostructural Tool Kit, Inc. (GTI). *Note: Minimum grade beam depths and widths are provided in Section 6.3.1 of this report.*

The use of a vapor retarder should be considered beneath concrete slabs in areas with moisture sensitive flooring. When conditions warrant the use of a vapor retarder, the slab designer and slab contractor should refer to ACI 302 for procedures and cautions about the use and placement of a vapor retarder.

6.4 Flatwork

Exterior flatwork supported on-grade could be subjected to potential seasonal movements up to 3½ inches as described in Section 6.1.2 of this report. Subgrade improvement, as discussed in Section 6.2, could be considered if it is desired to reduce these anticipated movements and to reduce the risk of potential for differential movements between the flatwork and adjoining structural elements. Subgrade improvement below flatwork is intended to maintain the potential for large differential movements between the flatwork and the structure. However, some differential movement should be expected. Therefore, allowances should be made for differential movements between the structure and the flatwork, including flexible connections and control joints. The use of sand as a leveling course below flatwork supported on expansive clays should be avoided. *Note: ALPHA should be contacted if supplemental recommendations are desired to reduce the potential seasonal movements in the flatwork area at this site.*

The flatwork should be installed to ensure drainage away from the structure. A positive slope away from the structure should be maintained. The slope should be sufficient to accommodate future potential movements. The flatwork should never be allowed to reach either a level plane or negative slope back toward the structure. In addition, a moisture seal should be provided at the joint between the flatwork and the foundation.



6.5 Swimming Pool Area

Based on the information provided to us, we understand that the swimming pool will be located in the vicinity of Boring B-1. The depth of the swimming pool is anticipated to be about 5 to 8 ft below existing grades. The swimming pool area could be subjected to potential seasonal movement up to 3½ inches. Subgrade improvement, as discussed in Section 6.2, would be necessary to reduce anticipated movements to about 1 inch. *Note: Marl (rock) was encountered at about 5 ft below the existing ground surface in the swimming pool area. Rock excavation methods will be required to remove this marl.*

The proposed swimming pool walls will be subject to lateral earth pressures induced by the soil retained by those walls. If the pool is constructed using “gunite” techniques, then the retained soil will be the on-site granular soils encountered in the borings. As such, the equivalent fluid density will be dictated by that natural soil.

If the soils are mass excavated and the swimming pool is formed and placed, then the backfill can consist of free draining granular soils such as clean, free-draining sand or gravel, which will allow the equivalent fluid density exerted on the pool walls to be controlled to some extent. If the pool walls are backfilled, then a drainage system comprised of slotted or perforated PVC pipe encased by clean sand or gravel that is completely wrapped in filter fabric should be considered for behind-wall construction to further control the equivalent fluid density. Vertical face drains are commonly used to provide some drainage and reduce hydrostatic forces behind earth-formed retaining structures. However, without a free-draining material placed within the entire active zone behind the wall, hydrostatic forces can still develop. The “active zone” consists of the area behind the wall within a boundary created by a 45-degree angle drawn from the heel of the wall and extending upward to the ground surface.

TABLE C LATERAL EARTH PRESSURE			
Materials	Conditions	Equivalent Fluid Pressure, pcf	
		Drained	Undrained including Hydrostatic Pressure
Free Draining Granular Soil	At-Rest, $k_o=0.47$	59	92
$\Phi=32^\circ$, $\gamma_T=125$ pcf	Active, $k_a=0.31$	39	82
On-Site Clay Soil	At-Rest, $k_o=0.8$	--	112
$\Phi=12^\circ$, $\gamma_T=125$ pcf	Active, $k_a=0.7$	--	103
Φ – Internal Friction and γ_m – Effective Total Unit Weight			

The equivalent fluid pressures provided in Table C may be used for the design of the swimming pool walls at this site depending upon the design restraint condition and upon whether or not subsurface water can be effectively drained from behind the wall. The fluid pressures do not account for any surcharge loads. The “active” restraint condition assumes the top of wall will rotate. Conversely, the “at-rest” restraint condition assumes the top of the wall will not move. The “at-rest” condition may be more appropriate for swimming pool walls.



We recommend that the walls of the pool be designed assuming no pressure from the water in the pool (that is, an empty pool). We anticipate that flatwork or a 2-ft thick clay cap will be constructed over the granular backfill and will serve as a protective cover to reduce surface water from infiltrating into the backfill materials. The recommendations presented in this section are to be used for equivalent fluid pressures to aid in designing the pool. A special pool designer and engineer should be consulted.

6.6 Seismic Considerations

TABLE D SEISMIC PARAMETERS	
Description	Values
2015 International Building Code Site Classification (IBC) ¹	C ²
Site Latitude (Degrees)	30.34197
Site Longitude (Degrees)	-97.69539
Mapped Spectral Acceleration for Short Periods (0.2-Second): (S_s) ³	0.064 g
Mapped Spectral Acceleration for a 1-Second Period: (S_1) ³	0.034 g
¹	The site class definition was determined using SPT N-values in conjunction with section 1613.3.2 in the 2015 IBC and Table 20.3-1 in the 2010 ASCE-7.
²	Section 20.1 in the 2010 ASCE-7 requires a site soil profile determination extending to a depth of 100 feet for seismic site classification. The current scope does not include the required 100-foot soil profile determination. Borings extended to a maximum depth of 15 ft, and this seismic site class definition considers that hard soil continues below the maximum depth of the subsurface exploration. Additional exploration to deeper depths would be needed to confirm the conditions below the current depth of exploration.
³	The Spectral Acceleration values were determined using publicly available information provided on the United States Geological Survey (USGS) website. The spectral acceleration values can be used to determine the site coefficients using Tables 1613.3.3 (1) and 1613.3.3 (2) in the 2015 IBC.

6.7 Pavements

The soils encountered near the ground surface should be improved and prepared prior to construction of pavements at this site. To permit correlation between information from the borings and actual subgrade conditions exposed during construction, a qualified Geotechnical Engineer should be retained to provide subgrade monitoring and testing during construction. If there is any change in project criteria, the recommendations contained in this report should be reviewed by our office.

Based on our knowledge of the project, we anticipate that traffic loads will be produced primarily by automobile traffic and occasional delivery and trash removal trucks. For this project, Light and Moderate duty pavement alternatives have been provided. Light is for areas expected to receive only car traffic. Moderate assumes areas such as trash pickup areas and main access drive areas. If heavier traffic loading is expected, ALPHA should be provided with the information and allowed to review these pavement sections.

Calculations used to determine the required pavement thickness are based only on the physical and engineering properties of the materials and conventional thickness determination procedures. Pavement joining the buildings should be constructed with a curb and the joint between the building and curb should be sealed. Related civil design factors such as subgrade drainage, shoulder support, cross-sectional configurations, surface elevations, reinforcing steel, joint



design and environmental factors will significantly affect the service life and must be included in preparation of the construction drawings and specifications, but were not included in the scope of this study. Normal periodic maintenance will be required for all pavement to achieve the design life of the pavement system.

Recommendations for both Portland Cement Concrete (PCC) and asphalt concrete pavements are provided below. These types of pavement are not considered equal in performance. Over the life of the pavement structure, asphalt concrete pavement should be expected to have a shorter life and higher maintenance costs. Also, pavement in dumpster areas should consist of PCC. The dumpster pads should be extended to include all wheels of any garbage trucks.

Note: The recommended pavement sections provided below are considered the minimum necessary to provide satisfactory performance based on the expected traffic loading. In some cases, City minimum standards for pavement section construction may exceed those provided below.

6.7.1 Pavement Subgrade Preparation

After final subgrade elevation has been achieved, the exposed subgrade preparation should consist of scarifying the exposed subgrade soils to a depth of at least 6 inches and then either lime stabilizing or recompacting the scarified soils to at least 95 percent of standard Proctor maximum dry density (ASTM D 698) and within the range of 0 to 4 percentage points above the material's optimum moisture content. The pavement subgrade should be proofrolled as described in Section 7.1. Recommendations for subgrade preparation (recompacted subgrade and lime stabilized subgrade) are presented in Section 6.7.4.

It is recommended that subgrade preparation (recompacted subgrade and lime stabilized subgrade) extend at least 1 ft beyond the edge of the pavement to reduce effects of seasonal shrinking and swelling upon the extreme edges of pavement. Also, the curb should be constructed such that the base of the curb extends at least 6 inches into the pavement subgrade.

Pavement will have the same potential for movement as discussed in Section 6.1.2 (Up to 3½ inches). Good perimeter surface drainage with a minimum slope of 2 percent away from the pavement is recommended. Normal maintenance of pavement should be expected over the life of the pavement structures.

6.7.2 Portland Cement Concrete Pavement

Subgrade preparation as described in Section 6.7.1 is required for PCC pavement. The minimum recommended PCC pavement sections to be constructed are presented in Table E:



TABLE E PORTLAND CEMENT CONCRETE PAVEMENT SECTIONS				
	Light-Duty 30,000 ESAL (inches)		Moderate-Duty 100,000 ESAL (inches)	
Reinforced PCC	5.0	6.0	6.0	7.0
Lime Stabilized Subgrade	6.0	----	6.0	----
Recompacted Subgrade	----	6.0	----	6.0

A minimum of 7.0 inches of PCC is recommended for dumpster pads. PCC should have a minimum compressive strength of 3,000 lbs per sq inch (psi) at 28 days in light duty traffic areas, 4,000 psi in moderate duty traffic areas and dumpster locations. Concrete should be designed with 5 ± 1 percent entrained air. Joints in concrete paving should not exceed 15 ft. Reinforcing steel should consist of No. 3 bars placed at 18 inches on-center in two directions. *Note: Refer to ACI 330 for additional information on pavement joints and reinforcement.*

6.7.3 Asphalt Concrete Pavement

Subgrade preparation as described in Section 6.7.1 is required for asphalt concrete pavement. The minimum recommended asphalt concrete pavement sections to be constructed are presented in Table F. Pavement materials are described in Section 6.7.4.

TABLE F ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT SECTIONS				
	Light-Duty 10,000 ESAL (inches)		Moderate-Duty 75,000 ESAL (inches)	
HMAC Surface Course	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Flexible Base	6.0	8.0	10.0	14.0
Lime Stabilized Subgrade or Geogrid ¹	6.0	----	6.0	----
Recompacted Subgrade	----	6.0	----	6.0
¹ Geogrid may be used in lieu of the 6-inch lime stabilized subgrade. Criteria for geogrid can be found in Section 6.7.4.				

6.7.4 Pavement Materials

Presented below are various materials that may be used to construct the pavement sections at this site. Submittals should be made for each pavement material. The submittals should be reviewed by the Geotechnical Engineer and appropriate members of the design team and should provide test information necessary to verify full compliance with the recommended or specified material properties.

Hot Mix Asphaltic Concrete (HMAC) Courses - The HMAC surface course should be plant mixed, hot laid Type C or D. The HMAC base course should also be plant mixed, hot laid Type A or B. Each mix should meet the master specifications requirements of 2014 TxDOT Standard Specifications Item 341, Item SS 3224 (2011) and specific criteria for the job mix formula.



Flexible Base – Flexible base should meet TxDOT Standard Specification Item 247 Grade 1-2, Type A. Flexible base should be compacted to a minimum of 95 percent of the materials maximum modified Proctor dry density (ASTM D 1557) at a moisture content of -2 to +2 percentage points of optimum moisture.

Lime Stabilized Subgrade – Due to the presence of clayey soils (with a PI over 20) at this site, the pavement subgrade may be treated with hydrated lime. The subgrade should be scarified to a depth of 6 inches and mixed with a minimum 7 percent hydrated lime (by dry soil weight) in conformance with TxDOT Standard Specification Item 260. Assuming an in-place unit weight of 100 pcf for the pavement subgrade soils, this percentage of lime equates to about 32 lbs of lime per square yard of treated subgrade. The actual amount of lime required should be confirmed by additional laboratory tests (ASTM C 977 Appendix XI) prior to construction. The soil-lime mixture should be compacted to at least 95 percent of standard Proctor maximum dry density (ASTM D 698) and within the range of 0 to 4 percentage points above the mixture's optimum moisture content. In all areas where hydrated lime is used to stabilize subgrade soil, routine Atterberg-limit tests should be performed to verify the resulting plasticity index of the soil-lime mixture is at/or below 20 percent. **In addition, the clay soils at the final pavement subgrade should be tested for the presence of soluble sulfates prior to the use of lime.** Subgrade preparation utilizing lime stabilization as described herein will not prevent normal seasonal movement of the underlying untreated materials.

Geogrid – Geogrid may be used in lieu of the 6 inches of chemical treated subgrade for asphalt pavements. The geogrid should consist of Tensar TX130S, Tensar Biaxial Type 1 or geogrid meeting TxDOT Type 2 specification. The geogrid should be placed at the bottom of the flexible base material layer. However, the pavement subgrade should still be moisture conditioned to a depth of about 6 inches

Recompacted Subgrade – The subgrade should be scarified to a depth of 6 inches and compacted to a dry density of at least 95 percent of standard Proctor maximum dry density (ASTM D 698) and within the range of 0 to +4 percentage points of optimum moisture content.

6.8 Drainage

Adequate drainage should be provided to reduce seasonal variations in the moisture content of foundation soils. All pavement and sidewalks within 10 ft of the building should be sloped away from the building to prevent ponding of water around the building. Final grades within 10 ft of the building should be adjusted to slope away from the building at a minimum slope of 2 percent. **Maintaining positive surface drainage throughout the life of the structure is essential.**

In areas with pavement or sidewalks adjacent to the new structures, a positive seal must be maintained between the structure and the pavement or sidewalk to minimize seepage of water into the underlying supporting soils. Post-construction movement of pavement and flatwork is common. Normal maintenance should include examination of all joints in paving and sidewalks, etc. as well as resealing where necessary.

Several factors relate to civil and architectural design and/or maintenance, which can significantly affect future movements of the foundation and floor slab system:



- Preferably, a complete system of gutters and downspouts should carry runoff water a minimum of 5 ft from the completed structures.
- Large trees and shrubs should not be allowed closer to the foundations than a horizontal distance equal to roughly their mature canopy due to their significant moisture demand upon maturing. *Note: A landscape expert may be consulted to evaluate the precise extents of potential root growth for specific tree and shrub species so that root growth beneath the structures and pavements can be avoided.*
- Moisture conditions should be maintained "constant" around the edge of the slabs. Ponding of water in planters, in unpaved areas, and around joints in paving and sidewalks can cause slab movements beyond those predicted in this report.
- Planter box structures placed adjacent to the building should be provided with a means to assure concentrations of water are not available to the subsoil stratigraphy.
- The root systems from any existing trees cleared/removed at this site will have dried and desiccated the surrounding clay soils, resulting in soil with near-maximum swell potential. Clay soils surrounding tree root mats within the building areas or flatwork areas should be removed to a depth of 3 ft or to the top of the marl, whichever occurs first, and compacted in-place with moisture and density control as described in Section 7.3 of this report.

Trench backfill for utilities should be properly placed and compacted as outlined in Section 7.3 of this report and in accordance with requirements of local City standards. Since granular bedding backfill is used for most utility lines, the backfilled trench should not become a conduit and allow access for surface or subsurface water to travel toward the new structures. Concrete cut-off collars or clay plugs should be provided where utility lines cross building lines to prevent water from traveling in the trench backfill and entering beneath the structures.

7.0 GENERAL CONSTRUCTION PROCEDURES AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Variations in subsurface conditions could be encountered during construction. To permit correlation between test boring data and actual subsurface conditions encountered during construction, it is recommended a registered Professional Engineering firm be retained to observe construction procedures and materials.

Some construction problems, particularly degree or magnitude, cannot be anticipated until the course of construction. The recommendations offered in the following paragraphs are intended not to limit or preclude other conceivable solutions, but rather to provide our observations based on our experience and understanding of the project characteristics and subsurface conditions encountered in the borings.

7.1 Site Preparation and Grading

Marl (rock) was encountered at depths ranging from about 2½ to 9 ft below existing grade at this site. From our experience, marl can be hard and difficult to excavate (including trenching), and



difficulty excavating this material can increase with depth. Rock excavation methods (including, but not limited to rock teeth, rippers, jack hammers, or sawcutting) will be required to remove marl. **The contractor selected should have experience with excavation in this marl/rock.**

All areas supporting floor slabs, foundations, pavement, flatwork, or areas to receive new fill should be properly prepared.

- After completion of the necessary stripping, clearing, and excavating and prior to placing any required fill, the exposed soil subgrade should be carefully evaluated by probing and testing. Any undesirable material (organic material, wet, soft, or loose soil) still in place should be removed.
- The exposed soil subgrade should be further evaluated by proof-rolling with a heavy pneumatic tired roller, loaded dump truck or similar equipment weighing approximately 20 tons to check for pockets of soft or loose material hidden beneath a thin crust of possibly better soil.
- Proof-rolling procedures should be observed routinely by a Professional Engineer, or his designated representative.
- Any undesirable material (organic material, wet, soft, or loose soil) exposed during the proofroll should be removed and replaced with well-compacted material as outlined in Section 7.3.
- Prior to placement of any fill, the exposed soil subgrade should then be scarified to a minimum depth of 6 inches and recompacted as outlined in Section 7.3.

Slope stability analysis of embankments (natural or constructed) was not within the scope of this study. If fill is to be placed on existing slopes (natural or constructed) steeper than six (6) horizontal to one (1) vertical (6:1), the fill materials should be benched into the existing slopes in such a manner as to provide a minimum bench width of five (5) ft. This should provide a good contact between the existing soils and new fill materials, reduce potential sliding planes and allow relatively horizontal lift placements.

The contractor is responsible for designing any excavation slopes, temporary sheeting or shoring. Design of these structures should include any imposed surface surcharges. Construction site safety is the sole responsibility of the contractor, who shall also be solely responsible for the means, methods and sequencing of construction operations. The contractor should also be aware that slope height, slope inclination or excavation depths (including utility trench excavations) should in no case exceed those specified in local, state and/or federal safety regulations, such as OSHA Health and Safety Standard for Excavations, 29 CFR Part 1926, or successor regulations. Stockpiles should be placed well away from the edge of the excavation and their heights should be controlled so they do not surcharge the sides of the excavation. Surface drainage should be carefully controlled to prevent flow of water over the slopes and/or into the excavations. Construction slopes should be closely observed for signs of mass movement, including tension cracks near the crest or bulging at the toe. If potential stability problems are observed, a geotechnical engineer should be contacted immediately. Shoring, bracing or underpinning



required for the project (if any) should be designed by a professional engineer registered in the State of Texas.

Due to the nature of the clayey soils found near the surface at the borings, traffic of heavy equipment (including heavy compaction equipment) may create pumping and general deterioration of shallow soils. Therefore, some construction difficulties should be anticipated during periods when these soils are saturated.

7.2 Foundation Excavations

All foundation excavations should be monitored to verify foundations bear on suitable material. The bearing stratum exposed in the base of all foundation excavations should be protected against any detrimental change in conditions. Surface runoff water should be drained away from excavations and not allowed to collect. All concrete for foundations should be placed as soon as practical after the excavation is made.

Prolonged exposure of the bearing surface to air or water will result in changes in strength and compressibility of the bearing stratum. Excavations should not be left open for more than 48 hours. Therefore, if delays occur, foundation excavations should be slightly deepened and cleaned to provide a fresh bearing surface.

Marl (rock) was encountered at depths ranging from about 2½ to 9 ft below existing grade at this site. Rock excavation methods (including but not limited to rock teeth, rippers, jack hammers, or saw cutting) will be required to remove marl. **The contractor selected should have experience with excavation in this marl/rock.**

7.3 Fill Materials and Compaction

The following fill materials and compaction recommendations provided below are applicable for general site grading in the building area and other structural areas.

Select Fill – Materials used as select fill material should consist of a “non-expansive” material with a liquid limit less than 35 percent, a PI not less than about 5 percent or greater than 15 percent and contain no more than 0.5 percent fibrous organic materials, by weight. All select fill material should contain no deleterious material and should be compacted to a dry density of at least 98 percent standard Proctor maximum dry density (ASTM D 698) and within the range of 1 percentage point below to 3 percentage points above the material's optimum moisture content. *Note: The plasticity index and liquid limit of material used as select fill material should be routinely verified during placement using laboratory tests. Visual observation and classification should not be relied upon to confirm the material to be used as select fill material satisfies the above Atterberg-limit criteria.*

Flexible Base – Flexible base used in the building pad should consist of material meeting the requirements of TxDOT Standard Specifications Item 247, Type A, B, C or D, Grade 1-2. The flexible base should be compacted to at least 98 percent of modified Proctor maximum dry density (ASTM D 1557) and within the range of 2 percentage points below to 2 percentage points above the material's optimum moisture content. *Note: Any flexible base used for pavement applications should meet the requirements of Section 6.7.*



The following fill compaction recommendations provided below are applicable for general site grading.

General Fill (Clay) – Clay soils should be compacted to a dry density between 95 and 100 percent of standard Proctor maximum dry density (ASTM D 698). The compacted moisture content of the clays during placement should be within the range of 0 to 4 percentage points above optimum. Clayey materials used as fill should be processed and the largest particle or clod should be less than 6 inches prior to compaction.

General Fill (Granular) – Granular materials should be compacted to a dry density between 95 and 100 percent of standard Proctor maximum dry density (ASTM D 698). The compacted moisture content of the granular soils during placement should be within the range of -2 to +2 percentage points of optimum.

Prior to placement of any fill or foundation, the subgrade should be scarified to a depth of 6 inches and recompact to a dry density of at least 95 percent of standard Proctor maximum dry density (ASTM D 698) and within the range of 0 to +4 percentage points of the material's optimum moisture content.

In cases where either mass fills or utility lines are more than 10 ft deep, the fill/backfill below 10 ft should be compacted to at least 100 percent of standard Proctor maximum dry density (ASTM D-698) and within 2 percentage points of the material's optimum moisture content. The portion of the fill/backfill shallower than 10 ft should be compacted as outlined above.

Even if fill is properly compacted, fills in excess of about 10 ft are still subject to settlements over time of up to about 1 to 2 percent of the total fill thickness. This should be considered when designing utility lines under pavements and/or wall backfill.

Compaction should be accomplished by placing fill in about 8-inch thick loose lifts and compacting each lift to at least the specified minimum dry density. Field density and moisture content tests should be performed on each lift. A qualified geotechnical engineering firm should be retained to perform sufficient in-place density tests during the filling operations to evaluate that proper levels of compaction, including dry unit weight and moisture content, are being attained. Controlled, compacted fill should consist of approved materials that are free of organic matter and debris or materials exceeding 4 inches in maximum dimension.

7.4 Groundwater

Groundwater was not encountered during drilling at this site. However, from our experience with similar soils, seasonal groundwater seepage could be encountered in excavations for grade beams, foundations, utility conduits and other general excavations. The risk of encountering seepage increases with depth of excavation and during or after periods of precipitation. Standard sump pits and pumping may be adequate to control minor seepage on a local basis in relatively shallow excavations.

Marl (rock) was encountered at relatively shallow depths at this site. From our experience, seasonal seepage could occur where marl is at or near the final site grade. Subsurface drains may be required to intercept seasonal groundwater seepage in areas where marl is at or near final site



grade. The need for subsurface drains or other de-watering devices across the site should be carefully addressed by the construction testing laboratory during construction. ALPHA can review any required drainage details once prepared.

In any areas where cuts are made to establish final grades at the site, attention should be given to possible seasonal water seepage that could occur through natural cracks and fissures in the newly exposed stratigraphy. Subsurface drains may be required to intercept seasonal groundwater seepage. The need for these or other de-watering devices should be carefully addressed during construction. Our office could be contacted to visually observe the final grades to evaluate the need for such drains.

8.0 LIMITATIONS

Professional services provided in this geotechnical exploration were performed, findings obtained, and recommendations prepared in accordance with generally accepted geotechnical engineering principles and practices. The scope of services provided herein does not include an environmental assessment of the site or investigation for the presence or absence of hazardous materials in the soil, surface water or groundwater. ALPHA, upon written request, can be retained to provide these services.

ALPHA is not responsible for conclusions, opinions or recommendations made by others based on this data. Information contained in this report is intended for the exclusive use of the Client (and their designated design representatives), and is related solely to design of the specific structures outlined in Section 2.0. No party other than the Client (and their designated design representatives) shall use or rely upon this report in any manner whatsoever unless such party shall have obtained ALPHA's written acceptance of such intended use. Any such third party using this report after obtaining ALPHA's written acceptance shall be bound by the limitations and limitations of liability contained herein, including ALPHA's liability being limited to the fee paid to it for this report. Recommendations presented in this report should not be used for design of any other structures except those specifically described in this report. In all areas of this report in which ALPHA may provide additional services if requested to do so in writing, it is presumed that such requests have not been made if not evidenced by a written document accepted by ALPHA. Further, subsurface conditions can change with passage of time. Recommendations contained herein are not considered applicable for an extended period of time after the completion date of this report. It is recommended our office be contacted for a review of the contents of this report for construction commencing more than one (1) year after completion of this report. Non-compliance with any of these requirements by the Client or anyone else shall release ALPHA from any liability resulting from the use of, or reliance upon, this report.

Recommendations provided in this report are based on our understanding of information provided by the Client about characteristics of the project. If the Client notes any deviation from the facts about project characteristics, our office should be contacted immediately since this may materially alter the recommendations. Further, ALPHA is not responsible for damages resulting from workmanship of designers or contractors. It is recommended the Owner retain qualified personnel, such as a Geotechnical Engineering firm, to verify construction is performed in accordance with plans and specifications.



APPENDIX



A-1 METHODS OF FIELD EXPLORATION

A truck-mounted, rotary drill rig equipped with continuous flight augers was used to advance the boreholes. A total of 13 borings were performed for this geotechnical exploration at the approximate locations shown on the Boring Location Plan, Figure 1. The boring locations were staked by either pacing or taping and estimating right angles from landmarks which could be identified in the field and as shown on the site plan provided during this study. The locations of the borings shown on the Boring Location Plan are considered accurate only to the degree implied by the methods used to define them. The approximate latitude and longitude coordinates at each boring location were obtained using a handheld GPS device.

Relatively undisturbed samples of the cohesive subsurface materials were obtained by hydraulically pressing 3-inch O.D. thin-wall sampling tubes into the underlying soils at selected depths (ASTM D 1587). These samples were removed from the sampling tubes in the field and evaluated visually. One representative portion of each sample was sealed in a plastic bag for use in future visual evaluations and possible testing in the laboratory.

Samples of granular and cohesive materials were obtained using split-spoon sampling procedures in general accordance with ASTM Standard D 1586. Disturbed samples were obtained at selected depths in the borings by driving a standard 2-inch O.D. split-spoon sampler 18 inches into the subsurface material using a 140-pound hammer falling 30 inches. The number of blows required to drive the split-spoon sampler the final 12 inches of penetration (N-value) is recorded in the appropriate column on the boring logs. However, if the sampler was not driven the initial 6-inch seating increment with 50 hammer blows, refusal (i.e. "ref") is recorded along with the inches driven on the logs.

Our field representative prepared field logs as part of the field exploration. The field logs included visual descriptions of the materials encountered during drilling and their interpretation of the subsurface conditions between samples. The Log of Boring sheets included in this report represent the engineer's interpretation of the field logs and include modifications based on visual observations using the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) and testing of the samples in the laboratory. **Samples not consumed by testing will be retained in our laboratory for at least 30 days and then discarded unless the Client requests otherwise.**

Site plan for the proposed Norwood Park subdivision, showing 13 lots (B-1 to B-13) and a parking area. The plan includes dimensions, bearings, and references to the plat record in Document No. 200800220, Plat Records of Travis County, Texas. The subdivision is located adjacent to Interstate 35 and Norwood Park Boulevard.

Key features and dimensions:

- Lot Dimensions:**
 - Lot B-1: 20' x 50.2' (approx.)
 - Lot B-2: 20' x 50.2' (approx.)
 - Lot B-3: 20' x 50.2' (approx.)
 - Lot B-4: 20' x 50.2' (approx.)
 - Lot B-5: 20' x 50.2' (approx.)
 - Lot B-6: 20' x 50.2' (approx.)
 - Lot B-7: 20' x 50.2' (approx.)
 - Lot B-8: 20' x 50.2' (approx.)
 - Lot B-9: 20' x 50.2' (approx.)
 - Lot B-10: 20' x 50.2' (approx.)
 - Lot B-11: 20' x 50.2' (approx.)
 - Lot B-12: 20' x 50.2' (approx.)
 - Lot B-13: 20' x 50.2' (approx.)
- Parking Area:** 4.300 Acres
- Adjacent Roads:** Interstate 35, Norwood Park Boulevard
- Plat Record:** Document No. 200800220, Plat Records of Travis County, Texas

TO INTERSTATE 35

0 100 FEET

LOT 1

LOT 2

LOT 3

LOT 4

LOT 5

LOT 6

LOT 7

LOT 8

LOT 9

LOT 10

LOT 11

LOT 12

LOT 13

LOT 14

LOT 15

LOT 16

LOT 17

LOT 18

LOT 19

LOT 20

LOT 21

LOT 22

LOT 23

LOT 24

LOT 25

LOT 26

LOT 27

LOT 28

LOT 29

LOT 30

LOT 31

LOT 32

LOT 33

LOT 34

LOT 35

LOT 36

LOT 37

LOT 38

LOT 39

LOT 40

LOT 41

LOT 42

LOT 43

LOT 44

LOT 45

LOT 46

LOT 47

LOT 48

LOT 49

LOT 50

LOT 51

LOT 52

LOT 53

LOT 54

LOT 55

LOT 56

LOT 57

LOT 58

LOT 59

LOT 60

LOT 61

LOT 62

LOT 63

LOT 64

LOT 65

LOT 66

LOT 67

LOT 68

LOT 69

LOT 70

LOT 71

LOT 72

LOT 73

LOT 74

LOT 75

LOT 76

LOT 77

LOT 78

LOT 79

LOT 80

LOT 81

LOT 82

LOT 83

LOT 84

LOT 85

LOT 86

LOT 87

LOT 88

LOT 89

LOT 90

LOT 91

LOT 92

LOT 93

LOT 94

LOT 95

LOT 96

LOT 97

LOT 98

LOT 99

LOT 100

LOT 101

LOT 102

LOT 103

LOT 104

LOT 105

LOT 106

LOT 107

LOT 108

LOT 109

LOT 110

LOT 111

LOT 112

LOT 113

LOT 114

LOT 115

LOT 116

LOT 117

LOT 118

LOT 119

LOT 120

LOT 121

LOT 122

LOT 123

LOT 124

LOT 125

LOT 126

LOT 127

LOT 128

LOT 129

LOT 130

LOT 131

LOT 132

LOT 133

LOT 134

LOT 135

LOT 136

LOT 137

LOT 138

LOT 139

LOT 140

LOT 141

LOT 142

LOT 143

LOT 144

LOT 145

LOT 146

LOT 147

LOT 148

LOT 149

LOT 150

LOT 151

LOT 152

LOT 153

LOT 154

LOT 155

LOT 156

LOT 157

LOT 158

LOT 159

LOT 160

LOT 161

LOT 162

LOT 163

LOT 164

LOT 165

LOT 166

LOT 167

LOT 168

LOT 169

LOT 170

LOT 171

LOT 172

LOT 173

LOT 174

LOT 175

LOT 176

LOT 177

LOT 178

LOT 179

LOT 180

LOT 181

LOT 182

LOT 183

LOT 184

LOT 185

LOT 186

LOT 187

LOT 188

LOT 189

LOT 190

LOT 191

LOT 192

LOT 193

LOT 194

LOT 195

LOT 196

LOT 197

LOT 198

LOT 199

LOT 200

LOT 201

LOT 202

LOT 203

LOT 204

LOT 205

LOT 206

LOT 207

LOT 208

LOT 209

LOT 210

LOT 211

LOT 212

LOT 213

LOT 214

LOT 215

LOT 216

LOT 217

LOT 218

LOT 219

LOT 220

LOT 221

LOT 222

LOT 223

LOT 224

LOT 225

LOT 226

LOT 227

LOT 228

LOT 229

LOT 230

LOT 231

LOT 232

LOT 233

LOT 234

LOT 235

LOT 236

LOT 237

LOT 238

LOT 239

LOT 240

LOT 241

LOT 242

LOT 243

LOT 244

LOT 245

LOT 246

LOT 247

LOT 248

LOT 249

LOT 250

LOT 251

LOT 252

LOT 253

LOT 254

LOT 255

LOT 256

LOT 257

LOT 258

LOT 259

LOT 260

LOT 261

LOT 262

LOT 263

LOT 264

LOT 265

LOT 266

LOT 267

LOT 268

LOT 269

LOT 270

LOT 271

LOT 272

LOT 273

LOT 274

LOT 275

LOT 276

LOT 277

LOT 278

LOT 279

LOT 280

LOT 281

LOT 282

LOT 283

LOT 284

LOT 285

LOT 286

LOT 287

LOT 288

LOT 289

LOT 290

LOT 291

LOT 292

LOT 293

LOT 294

LOT 295

LOT 296

LOT 297

LOT 298

LOT 299

LOT 300

LOT 301

LOT 302

LOT 303

LOT 304

LOT 305

LOT 306

LOT 307

LOT 308

LOT 309

LOT 310

LOT 311

LOT 312

LOT 313

LOT 314

LOT 315

LOT 316

LOT 317

LOT 318

LOT 319

LOT 320

LOT 321

LOT 322

LOT 323

LOT 324

LOT 325

LOT 326

LOT 327

LOT 328

LOT 329

LOT 330

LOT 331

LOT 332

LOT 333

LOT 334

LOT 335

LOT 336

LOT 337

LOT 338

LOT 339

LOT 340

LOT 341

LOT 342

LOT 343

LOT 344

LOT 345

LOT 346

LOT 347

LOT 348

LOT 349

LOT 350

LOT 351

LOT 352

LOT 353

LOT 354

LOT 355

LOT 356

LOT 357

LOT 358

LOT 359

LOT 360

LOT 361

LOT 362

LOT 363

LOT 364


LOT 365

GEOTECHNICAL EXPLORATION
 NORWOOD PARK APARTMENTS
 NORWOOD PARK BOULEVARD NEAR INTERSTATE 35
 AUSTIN, TEXAS
 ALPHA PROJECT NO. A182808

APPROXIMATE BORING LOCATIONS

BORING LOCATION PLAN
 FIGURE 1

ALPHA TESTING




GEOTECHNICAL EXPLORATION
 NORWOOD PARK APARTMENTS
 NORWOOD PARK BOULEVARD NEAR INTERSTATE 35
 AUSTIN, TEXAS
 ALPHA PROJECT NO. A182808

APPROXIMATE BORING LOCATIONS

BORING LOCATION PLAN
 FIGURE 1

ALPHA TESTING



GEOTECHNICAL EXPLORATION
 NORWOOD PARK APARTMENTS
 NORWOOD PARK BOULEVARD NEAR INTERSTATE 35
 AUSTIN, TEXAS
 ALPHA PROJECT NO. A182808

APPROXIMATE BORING LOCATIONS

BORING LOCATION PLAN
 FIGURE 1

ALPHA TESTING



B-1 METHODS OF LABORATORY TESTING

Representative samples were inspected and classified by a qualified member of the Geotechnical Division and the boring logs were edited as necessary. To aid in classifying the subsurface materials and to determine the general engineering characteristics, natural moisture content tests (ASTM D 2216), Atterberg-limit tests (ASTM D 4318), and percent passing No. 200 Sieve (ASTM D 1140) were performed on selected samples. Results of all laboratory tests described above are provided on the accompanying boring logs as noted.

In addition to the Atterberg-limit tests, the expansive properties of the clay soils were further analyzed by absorption swell tests (ASTM D 4546, Method B). The swell test is performed by placing a selected sample in a consolidation machine and applying the overburden pressure and then allowing the sample to absorb water. When the sample exhibits very little tendency for further expansion, the height increase is recorded and the percent swell and total moisture gain calculated. Results of the absorption swell tests are provided on the Swell Test Data sheet, Figure 2, included in this appendix.

4740 Perrin Creek, Suite 480
San Antonio, Texas 78217
Geotechnical | Construction Materials | Environmental
www.alphatesting.com
TBPE Firm No. 813

TEST METHOD: ASTM D 4546, Method B

TESTED FOR: LDG Development, LLC
Austin, Texas

PROJECT: Norwood Park Apartments
Austin, Texas

TECHNICIAN: Chris Shipman

SWELL TEST RESULTS

Boring No.	B-2	B-6	B-7				
Average Depth, ft	1	5	7				
Pocket Penetrometer, tsf	3.0	4.0	2.0				
Liquid Limit, %	71	31	45				
Plastic Limit, %	25	21	16				
Plastic Index, %	46	10	29				
Initial Moisture Content, %	32	14	29				
Final Moisture Content, %	37	16	33				
Unit Wet Weight (pcf)	112	129	112				
Unit Dry Weight (pcf)	85	113	87				
Percent Swell	1.0	-0.1	-0.1				

FIGURE 2



BORING NO.: B- 1
Sheet 1 of 1
PROJECT NO.: A182808

Location: Austin, Texas
Surface Elevation: _____
Longitude: -97.69539
Latitude: 30.34197
Hammer Drop (lbs / in): 140 / 30

[illegible]



BORING NO.: B- 2
Sheet 1 of 1
PROJECT NO.: A182808

Location: Austin, Texas

Surface Elevation:

Longitude: -97.6951

Latitude: 30.34244

Hammer Drop (lbs / in): 140 / 30

[illegible]



BORING NO.: B- 3
Sheet 1 of 1
PROJECT NO.: A182808

Hammer Drop (lbs / in): 140 / 30

[illegible]



BORING NO.: B- 4
Sheet 1 of 1
PROJECT NO.: A182808

Location: Austin, Texas
 Surface Elevation: _____
 Longitude: -97.69472
 Latitude: 30.3419
 Hammer Drop (lbs / in): 140 / 30

[illegible]



BORING NO.: B- 5
Sheet 1 of 1
PROJECT NO.: A182808

Location: Austin, Texas

Surface Elevation: _____

Longitude: -97.69498

Latitude: 30.3415

Hammer Drop (lbs / in): 140 / 30

[illegible]



BORING NO.: B- 6
Sheet 1 of 1
PROJECT NO.: A182808

Location: Austin, Texas

Surface Elevation: _____

Longitude: -97.69444

Latitude: 30.34125

Hammer Drop (lbs / in): 140 / 30

[illegible]



BORING NO.: B-7
Sheet 1 of 1
PROJECT NO.: A182808

Location: Austin, Texas
Surface Elevation: _____
Longitude: -97.69419
Latitude: 30.34164
Hammer Drop (lbs / in): 140 / 30

[illegible]



BORING NO.: B- 8
Sheet 1 of 1
PROJECT NO.: A182808

Location: Austin, Texas
 Surface Elevation: _____
 Longitude: -97.69394
 Latitude: 30.34203
 Hammer Drop (lbs / in): 140 / 30

Depth, feet	Graphic Log	GROUND WATER OBSERVATIONS		Sample Type	Recovery % RQD	N Value (blows/ft)	Pocket Penetrometer (tsf)	Unconfined Comp. Strength (tsf)	% Passing No. 200 Sieve	Unit Dry Weight (pcf)	Water Content, %	Liquid Limit	Plastic Limit	Plasticity Index
		▽ On Rods (ft): _____ NONE ▼ After Drilling (ft): _____ DRY ▼ After _____ Hours (ft): _____												
MATERIAL DESCRIPTION														
		LEAN CLAY with SAND (CL) brown	1.0				4.5+				17			
		SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL) tan					4.5+				17	42	22	20
5			MARL tan; weathered with clay seams and LIMESTONE fragments and layers	5.0		50/4"					9			
						ref/5"					11			
		BORING TERMINATED AT 8 FEET	8.0											
10		BORING TERMINATED EARLY DUE TO AUGER REFUSAL												
15														
20														



Sheet 1 of 1

PROJECT NO.: A182808

Hammer Drop (lbs / in): 140 / 30

Depth, feet	Graphic Log	GROUND WATER OBSERVATIONS		Sample Type	Recovery % RQD	N Value (blows/ft)	Pocket Penetrometer (tsf)	Unconfined Comp. Strength (tsf)	% Passing No. 200 Sieve	Unit Dry Weight (pcf)	Water Content, %	Liquid Limit	Plastic Limit	Plasticity Index
		∇ On Rods (ft): _____ NONE ▼ After Drilling (ft): _____ DRY ▼ After _____ Hours (ft): _____												
MATERIAL DESCRIPTION														
		LEAN CLAY with SAND (CL) brown	1.0				4.5+				11	30	15	15
		SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL) tan												
		MARL tan; weathered with clay seams and LIMESTONE fragments and layers	3.0		50/5"						10	28	10	18
5					ref/5"					10				
		BORING TERMINATED AT 6 FEET	6.0											
10		BORING TERMINATED EARLY DUE TO AUGER REFUSAL												
15														
20														



BORING NO.: B-10
Sheet 1 of 1
PROJECT NO.: A182808

Location: Austin, Texas
Surface Elevation: _____
Longitude: -97.69357
Latitude: 30.34133
Hammer Drop (lbs / in): 140 / 30

[illegible]



BORING NO.: B-11
Sheet 1 of 1
PROJECT NO.: A182808

Location: Austin, Texas
 Surface Elevation: _____
 Longitude: -97.69278
 Latitude: 30.34147
 Hammer Drop (lbs / in): 140 / 30

[illegible]



BORING NO.: B-12
Sheet 1 of 1
PROJECT NO.: A182808

Hammer Drop (lbs / in): 140 / 30

[illegible]





















BORING NO.: B-13
Sheet 1 of 1
PROJECT NO.: A182808

Hammer Drop (lbs / in): 140 / 30






[illegible]

KEY TO SOIL SYMBOLS AND CLASSIFICATIONS

SOIL & ROCK SYMBOLS

	(CH), High Plasticity CLAY
	(CL), Low Plasticity CLAY
	(SC), CLAYEY SAND
	(SP), Poorly Graded SAND
	(SW), Well Graded SAND
	(SM), SILTY SAND
	(ML), SILT
	(MH), Elastic SILT
	LIMESTONE
	SHALE / MARL
	SANDSTONE
	(GP), Poorly Graded GRAVEL
	(GW), Well Graded GRAVEL
	(GC), CLAYEY GRAVEL
	(GM), SILTY GRAVEL
	(OL), ORGANIC SILT
	(OH), ORGANIC CLAY
	FILL

SAMPLING SYMBOLS

	SHELBY TUBE (3" OD except where noted otherwise)
	SPLIT SPOON (2" OD except where noted otherwise)
	AUGER SAMPLE
	TEXAS CONE PENETRATION
	ROCK CORE (2" ID except where noted otherwise)

RELATIVE DENSITY OF COHESIONLESS SOILS (blows/ft)

VERY LOOSE	0 TO 4
LOOSE	5 TO 10
MEDIUM	11 TO 30
DENSE	31 TO 50
VERY DENSE	OVER 50

SHEAR STRENGTH OF COHESIVE SOILS (tsf)

VERY SOFT	LESS THAN 0.25
SOFT	0.25 TO 0.50
FIRM	0.50 TO 1.00
STIFF	1.00 TO 2.00
VERY STIFF	2.00 TO 4.00
HARD	OVER 4.00

RELATIVE DEGREE OF PLASTICITY (PI)

LOW	4 TO 15
MEDIUM	16 TO 25
HIGH	26 TO 35
VERY HIGH	OVER 35

RELATIVE PROPORTIONS (%)

TRACE	1 TO 10
LITTLE	11 TO 20
SOME	21 TO 35
AND	36 TO 50

PARTICLE SIZE IDENTIFICATION (DIAMETER)

BOULDERS	8.0" OR LARGER
COBBLES	3.0" TO 8.0"
COARSE GRAVEL	0.75" TO 3.0"
FINE GRAVEL	5.0 mm TO 3.0"
COURSE SAND	2.0 mm TO 5.0 mm
MEDIUM SAND	0.4 mm TO 5.0 mm
FINE SAND	0.07 mm TO 0.4 mm
SILT	0.002 mm TO 0.07 mm
CLAY	LESS THAN 0.002 mm